17-1 (Rev 8-11-03)

ATTENTION

The following documents appearing in FBI files have been reviewed under the provisions of The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (Title 5, United States Code, Section 552), Privacy Act of 1974 (PA) (Title 5, United States Code, Section 552a), and/or Litigation

☐ FOIA/PA ☐ Litigation ☐ Executive Order Applied

Requester ______
Subject ______
Computer or Case Identification Number ______
Title of Case ______ Section ______
* File ______
Serials Reviewed ______

Release Location *File ______ Section ______

This file section has been scanned into the FOIPA Document Processing System (FDPS) prior to National Security Classification review Please see the documents located in the FDPS for current classification action, if warranted Direct inquires about the FDPS to RIDS Service Request Unit, 202-324-3773

File Number 245-HQ-76 Section 2
Serial(s) Reviewed ALL

FOIPA Requester ______
FOIPA Subject ______
FOIPA Computer Number #984989

File Number ______ Section ______
Serial(s) Reviewed ______

FOIPA Requester ______
FOIPA Subject ______
FOIPA Computer Number ______

File Number ______ Section ______
Serial(s) Reviewed ______

FOIPA Requester ______
FOIPA Subject ______
FOIPA Computer Number ______

THIS FORM IS TO BE MAINTAINED AS THE TOP SERIAL OF THE FILE, BUT NOT SERIALIZED.

ATTENTION

DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILE

N0007196062Z

RR HQ JN MM NY SJ

DE NO

R 14 2000Z JUL86

RECEIVED
TELETYPE UNIT

15 JUL 86 06 07

FEDERAL BUREAU
OF INVESTIGATION

FM NEW ORLEANS (245D-7) (P)

TO DIRECTOR (245D-76) ROUTINE

JACKSON ROUTINE

b7C

[redacted]

MIAMI ROUTINE

NEW YORK ROUTINE

SAN JUAN ROUTINE VIA FBI HQ

BT

UNCLAS

MIGUEL VELEZ, AKA; ET AL; ADLER B. SEAL-VICTIM; OCDE TASK FORCE [redacted]

INVESTIGATION; OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE-MURDER; OO: NEW ORLEANS. [redacted]

FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND RECIPIENTS, A DISCUSSION [redacted]

HELD WITH ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY (ADA) [redacted] BATON

ROUGE, LOUISIANA, ON JULY 11, 1986, IN WHICH SHE STATED THAT A

NUMBER OF PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS, INCLUDING MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE,

RELATING TO THE FOUR DEFENDANTS WHO ARE CHARGED WITH MURDER AND

245-76-

JUL 23 1986

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 5-15-96 BY SJSBCE/ow
96-048

RELAYED TO

SJ

STA

64 NOV 26 1986

CONSPIRACY TO MURDER SEAL, ARE SCHEDULED FOR JULY 28, 1986 AT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.

ADA [redacted] CONSIDERED IT ESSENTIAL THAT THE FOLLOWING FBI AGENTS BE AVAILABLE ON THE MORNING OF JULY 28, 1986 FOR TESTIMONY AS FOLLOWS: b7C

1. SA [redacted] JACKSON DIVISION, RELATING TO THE ARREST AND EVIDENCE SEIZED FROM DEFENDANT MIGUEL VELEZ.

2. SAS [redacted] SAN JUAN DIVISION, AND [redacted] NEW YORK DIVISION, TO TESTIFY RELATING TO INITIAL QUESTIONING OF VELEZ AND SEARCH WARRANTS OF VELEZ'S HOTEL ROOM.

3. SAS [redacted] MIAMI DIVISION, TO TESTIFY REGARDING DETENTION, QUESTIONING, AND INVENTORY OF PROPERTY OF SUBJECT [redacted] b7C

THE FOLLOWING NEW ORLEANS SAS WILL BE NEEDED TO TESTIFY RELATING TO THE ARRESTS OF SUBJECTS, SEARCH WARRANTS EXECUTED AND SEARCHES INCIDENTAL TO ARREST, AND PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED: SAS [redacted] (CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO MIAMI DIVISION), [redacted]

THE CONTENTS OF THIS TELETYPE HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED WITH THE PRINCIPAL LEGAL ADVISOR (PLA), NEW ORLEANS.

UACB, AND WITH CONCURRENCE OF THE SACS INVOLVED CONCERNING THE

PREVIOUSLY NAMED SAS, THOSE AGENTS WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE IN BATON ROUGE FOR TESTIMONY ON JULY 23, 1986. TRAVEL EXPENSES WILL BE REIMBURSED BY THE STATE OF LOUISIANA.

BT

DETAILS: MIGUEL VELEZ IS CURRENTLY HOUSED AT THE EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH PRISON, BATON ROUGE, LA., FOLLOWING HIS INDICTMENT IN STATE COURT FOR FIRST DEGREE MURDER AND CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER. VELEZ IS ONE OF FOUR SUBJECTS SIMILARLY CHARGED WITH THE CONTRACT KILLING ON FEBRUARY 19, 1986, OF ADLER B. SEAL, A CONVICTED INTERNATIONAL COCAINE SMUGGLER AND U.S. GOVERNMENT WITNESS. SEAL WAS EXPECTED TO BE A U.S. GOVERNMENT WITNESS IN FORTHCOMING TRIALS IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA AGAINST THE INTERNATIONAL DRUG CARTEL OF [redacted] PABLO ESCOBAR GAVARIA AND OTHERS. b7C

[redacted]

b7C
b7D
b7F

NON-TELEPHONIC CONSENSUAL MONITORING AND/OR CCTV

Date 7/9/86

TO: Chie[redacted] OC Section
FROM: SSA ____________. C/SAT Unit
SUBJECT: ADLER B. SEAL - VICTIM; OOJ - MURDER
OCDETF MATTER
OO: NEW ORLEANS

b7C

Attached communication from NEW ORLEANS Field Office, dated 4/7/86, requests Routine, Renewal, (Emergency), Emergency Renewal (circle one) authorization for the use of electronic device to monitor and/or record private conversations. Authorization is for 30 days or (60* days) (circle one), beginning on 4/4/86. Previous authority granted on N/A and expires/expired on N/A. (Fill in blanks, if applicable.)

Emergency authority granted by CHIEF FRANK STOREY on 4/4/86 to SSA, NEW ORLEANS FIELD DIVISION.

	YES	NO
1. The consenting party has:		
a. agreed to testify	✓	___
b. executed the consent form	✓	___
2. Recording/transmitting device will only be activated when the consenting party is present	✓	___
3. Government attorney (in Judicial District where interception(s) will take place or who is designated for the investigation) sees no entrapment and approves of the use of this technique as being appropriate	✓	___

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 5-15-96 BY SPSBCE/aw
96-048

Subject(s) of this case are alleged to be involved in:
TITLE 18 § 1952
(nature of violation)

The AG Memorandum of 11/7/83 on consensual monitoring has been reviewed and this request (does)/does not (circle one) require written authorization from the Department of Justice. (See attached checklist)

Authorization to include the use of CCTV. (Yes/(No)) If yes, AG Order 985-82 has been reviewed and use of CCTV does/does not (circle one) require DOJ authority. (See attached)

APPLICABLE ACTION MEMORANDUM FORMAT # IF DOJ AUTHORITY REQUIRED: 3
APPLICABLE CONFIRMING AIRTEL FORMAT # IF DOJ AUTHORITY NOT REQUIRED: ___

REVIEW/APPROVAL

1. Unit Chief [signature]
2. **LCD ____________
3. Approved: [signature], Section Chief

*Note that 60 day authority is appropriate only in long-term investigations such as UCOs.

** Review and concurrence by LCD is necessary if any of the seven instances requiring written DOJ approval exist or if use of CCTV requires written DOJ approval.

THIS REQUEST DOES NOT REQUIRE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BECAUSE (check appropriately):

_______ it does not relate to an investigation of a member of Congress, a Federal Judge, a member of the Executive Branch at Executive Level IV or above, or a person who has served in such capacity within the previous two years;

_______ it does not relate to an investigation of any public official and the offense investigated is not one involving bribery, conflict of interest, or extortion relating to the performance of his or her official duties;

_______ it does not relate to an investigation of a Federal law enforcement officer;

_______ the consenting or nonconsenting person is not a member of the diplomatic corps of a foreign country;

_______ the consenting or nonconsenting person is not or has not been a member of the Witness Security Program and that fact is known to the agency involved or its officers;

_______ the consenting or nonconsenting person is not in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons or the United States Marshals Service;

_______ the Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, Associate Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, or the United States Attorney in the district where an investigation is being conducted has not requested the investigating agency to obtain prior written consent from DOJ for making a consensual interception in a specific investigation.

THIS REQUEST FOR USE OF CCTV DOES REQUIRE DOJ APPROVAL BECAUSE (check appropriately):

_______ Area to be Viewed - Request includes use of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy otherwise exists, although a participant in the activity to be viewed has consented.

_______ Area to be Viewed - Request includes use of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists, but a participant in the activity to be viewed has not consented and, therefore, requires DOJ authority and a court order.

_______ Camera Placement - Request includes placement of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists, but a participant in the activity to be viewed has not consented, and, therefore, requires DOJ authority and a court order.

NOO 011 098 0108

PP HQ

DE NO

P 7 2300 Z APR 86

FM NEW ORLEANS (245D-7) (P)

TO DIRECTOR (245-76) PRIORITY

BT

UNCLAS

MIGUEL VELEZ; [redacted] ADLER B. SEAL-VICTIM; OC; DEA TASK FORCE INVESTIGATION; OOJ-MURDER; OO: NEW ORLEANS. b7C

RE BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA TELEPHONE CALL TO SECTION CHIEF, ORGANIZED CRIME SECTION, FBIHQ, APRIL 4, 1986.

PURPOSE: ON APRIL 4, 1986, AN FBIHQ OFFICIAL GRANTED EMERGENCY AUTHORITY TO UTILIZE AN ELECTRONIC DEVICE TO RECORD PRIVATE CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN A COOPERATING WITNESS AND [redacted] BATON ROUGE, LA., AS WELL AS [redacted] b7C

DUE TO ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT ORIGINAL TELETYPE WAS NOT RECEIVED BY APPROPRIATE FBIHQ SUPERVISOR AND AS A RESULT REQUEST FOR CONSENSUAL MONITORING WAS NOT PROCESSED

245D-7-918

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3-15-96 BY SP5BCE/BW 96-048

Office of Enforcement Operations July 10, 1986
Criminal Division

Director, FBI

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

ADLER B. SEAL - VICTIM;
~~OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE~~ - MURDER;
ORGANIZED CRIME DRUG ENFORCEMENT
TASK FORCE MATTER

ACTION MEMORANDUM

Enclosed is a copy of a communication which furnishes information concerning a current investigation.

This is to notify your office that pursuant to Part III (D) of the Attorney General's Memorandum of November 7, 1983, captioned "Procedures for Lawful, Warrantless Interceptions of Verbal communications," addressed to the Heads and Inspectors General of Executive Departments and Agencies, emergency authorization to utilize an electronic device to monitor and record private non-telephonic conversations with the consent of a party was granted by an appropriate FBI Headquarters official on April 4, 1986. Exigent circumstances precluded a request for authorization in advance.

Monitoring/recording of these conversations was authorized as being in the best interest of law enforcement in that it is expected to provide corroborative evidence and/or to protect the individual(s) utilizing the equipment.

As the authorization is for the interception of conversations, as delineated in Part II(A) of the November 7, 1983 memorandum, and further monitoring/recording is expected in this matter, it is requested that your office approve the use of this equipment for a period of 60 days, beginning on the above mention date.

Enclosure

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 5-15-96 BY SP5BCE/DV
96-048

JUL 22 1986

b7C

1 - ELSUR INDEX, RMD
1 - [redacted]
ALJ/ecn (5)

NOTE: Emergency authority granted by OC Section Chief F. Storey on 4/4/86. New Orleans Division was advised same date.

APPROVED.

Director	Adm. Servs.	Laboratory
Exec AD-Adm	Crim. Inv.	Legal Coun.
Exec AD-Inv	Ident	Off. of Cong. & Public Affs.
Exec AD-LES	Inspection	Rec Mgnt
	Intell	Tech. Servs.
		Training

Exec AD Adm
Exec AD Inv
Exec AD LES
Asst Dir
Adm Servs
Crim Inv
Ident
Insp
Intell
Lab
Legal Coun
Off Cong & Public Affs
Rec Mgnt
Tech Servs
Training
Telephone Rm
Director's Sec'y
MAIL ROOM

FOUR
ELSUR INDEX